

FROM: Lon. 904 Approved For Release 2009/07/09 : CIA-RDP80-00765A000100050024-3

COPY DISPOSITION IN MESSAGE CENTER

☐ NO COPIES RETAINED ☐ DIVISION CHRONO. FILE ☐ DIVISION SOURCE OR SUBJECT FILES ☐ W/O ATTACHMENT ☐ WITH ATTACHMENT ☐ W/O ATTACHMENT ☐ WITH ATTACHMENT

SUBJECT

Ltr: Coordination Requirements

(w/att) 6

2-3-4

2-3-4

STAT

STAT

INFO	ACTION	COMMENT	CONCURRENCE	PREPARE REPLY	RECOMMENDATION	RETURN	FILE
------	--------	---------	-------------	---------------	----------------	--------	------

ROUTING	DATE	INITIALS	REMARKS
1. Couch	8 July	JKE	Believe request to EBC in his letter of 6 June should stand. Despite Campbell's protests, he is fully aware of the value of centralized control and coordination of the expenditure of effort. FOS should at all times be informed of Medburo's continuing commitments in terms of special processing and filing as well as coverage when planning a possible shift of commitments, e.g. from Okinawa to Medburo. Attached is a copy of comments. BMA
2. [redacted]	12 July	BMA	
3. [redacted]	12 July	FEB	
4. [redacted]	11 July	[redacted]	
5. COUCH	13 July	JKE	I think we should hold firm on the need for HQ prior review of proposed standing, continuing EBC requirements on Medbureau, including long term coverage to meet EBC operational needs, STAT filing of backstop program summaries, etc. Campbell's misgivings are aimed at possible disruption of the established London-Rubinstein channels of day-to-day liaison with Kyrenia, it would seem.
6. [redacted]	13 July	[redacted]	
7. COUCH			
8.			

FILE DISPOSITION

THIS COPY MAY BE RETURNED TO FIELD OFFICE OR ROUTED INTERNALLY WITH COMMENTS

Fraser/Jerry

Please draft a polite reply to Campbell incorporating  
your remarks. Make it for  signature. I plan  
to hold it for him. JRC

STAT

Draft letter attached JB



24 June 1960

STAT

[redacted] Acting Chief  
Foreign Broadcast Information Service  
2450 E. Street N. W.  
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Joe:

Subject: Coordination Requirements

John Campbell today gave me the attached letter for Roger, which, as you see, expresses a certain amount of misgivings about the way in which the new method of coordination for requirements will affect BBC week-to-week relations with Cyprus.

I hope I am not misreading your letter by concluding that it really applies only to Cyprus, since the London Bureau has always cleared any request it receives through Washington. If it does apply only to Cyprus, I can see the beginning of what may be some rather cumbersome procedures. Only time and experience will show whether this is true.

My own feeling on Cyprus-BBC coordination has been that it is excellent and should remain on its present status with one rather notable exception: that is that Cyprus and the BBC should send drop copies of all messages pertaining to coverage and requirements to Washington, which would intervene and provide guidance and direction as it saw fit.

I realize that this letter to the BBC does not come directly within my province, but I knew that you would be interested in my comment for whatever limited bit of light it might throw on the subject under discussion.

Sincerely,

[redacted]  
Chief, London Bureau

STAT